

# Chromatic Homotopy Theory

Hongxiang Zhao

April 30, 2023

## Abstract

This is a note on chromatic homotopy theory, rewriting Chapters 1,2,3,7 of Ravenel's orange book.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Rough Idea of Chromatic Homotopy Theory</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Periodicity Theorem</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Geometric Chromatic Filtration and Telescope Conjecture</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Thick Category Theorem</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	The category $CF$ . . . . .	8
5.2	Thick Subcategories . . . . .	11
<b>6</b>	<b>Bousfield Equivalence</b>	<b>13</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>16</b>

# 1 Notations

For a topological space  $X$ , let  $\pi_*^S(X) :=$  be its stable homotopy groups.

Let  $S$  be the sphere spectrum.

Let  $SG$  be the Moore spectrum of an abelian group  $G$ .

For spaces  $X, Y$ , let  $[X, Y]_*^S := \operatorname{colim}[\Sigma^{i+*} X, \Sigma^i Y]$  be the stable homotopy groups of maps.

For a homology theory  $E_*$ , let  $\overline{E}_*$  be the associated homology theory. By abuse of notation, we also denote the coefficient ring by  $E_* := E_*(pt)$ .

# 2 Rough Idea of Chromatic Homotopy Theory

In number theory, we have the Sullivan fracture square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \otimes \left( \prod_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p \right) \end{array}$$

There is a similar fracture square in homotopy theory. First, we have the similar definition of localization:

**Definition 2.1** ( $E$ -acyclic,  $E$ -local,  $E$ -localization). Let  $E_*$  be a generalized homology theory. A spectrum  $X$  is  $E_*$ -**acyclic** if  $E_*(X) = 0$ . A space  $Y$  is  $E_*$ -**local** if  $[X, Y]_* = 0$  for any  $E$ -acyclic  $X$ .

An  $E_*$ -**localization** of a spectrum  $X$  is a map  $\eta: X \rightarrow L_E X$  such that  $E_*(\eta)$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.2** (Bousfield). *Such  $L_E X$  always exists and is functorial in  $X$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *For any spectrum  $X$ ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \prod_{p: \text{prime}} L_p X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\mathbb{Q}} X & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( \prod_{p: \text{prime}} L_p X \right) \end{array}$$

where  $L_p X := L_{S\mathbb{F}_p} X$ ,  $L_{\mathbb{Q}} X := L_{S\mathbb{Q}} X$  (which is the rationalization of  $X$  when  $X$  is a CW-complex).

That is, we can get the global information of the spectrum  $X$  through  $p$ -completion, rationalization and how they are glued together. Also, similar to algebra, the  $p$ -completion of the space  $X$  can be constructed from the completion of the  $p$ -localization of  $X$ , i.e.,  $L_{S\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}X$ . This inspires us to investigate the  $p$ -localization of a spectrum  $X$ , which turns out to have a nice structure and be computable.

**Theorem 2.4** (Chromatic Convergence Theorem). *Suppose that  $X$  is a  $p$ -local spectrum, i.e., it is the  $p$ -localization of some spectrum. Then we have  $X \cong \text{holim} L_n X$ , where  $L_n X \cong L_{E_n} X$  and  $E_n$  is the Morava  $E$ -theory (also called Lubin-Tate theory).*

**Theorem 2.5** (Smash Product Theorem). *For any spectrum  $X$ ,  $L_n X \cong X \wedge L_n S$ .*

Therefore, we can recover the information of  $X$  from  $L_n X \cong X \wedge L_n S$ . Then we are reduced to compute  $L_n S$ , which can be decomposed further:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $K(n)$  be the Morava  $K$ -theory and  $X$  be an arbitrary spectrum.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n X & \longrightarrow & L_{K(n)} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{n-1} X & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} X \end{array}$$

Therefore, we are reduced to calculate  $L_{K(n)} X$ , which is somehow related to the equivariant stuff:

**Theorem 2.7** (Devnatz-Hopkins).  *$L_{K(n)} S \cong E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n}$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is called the Morava stabilizer group.*

### 3 Periodicity Theorem

Due to the discussion in Section 2, from now on we will focus on the case of  $p$ -local spectra. There is a sequence of useful homology theories in investigating  $p$ -local spectra called the Morava  $K$ -theory. They will give a filtration of the category of  $p$ -local spectra. The construction is tedious and artificial, so we only display some properties of  $K(n)$  here:

**Proposition 3.1.** *For each prime  $p$  there is a sequence of homology theories  $K(n)_*$  for  $n \geq 0$  with the following properties.*

- (i)  $K(0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\overline{K(0)}_*(X) = 0$  when  $\overline{H}_*(X)$  is all torsion.

- (ii)  $K(1)_*(X)$  is one of  $p - 1$  isomorphic summands of mod  $p$  complex K-theory.
- (iii)  $K(0)_* = \mathbb{Q}$  and for  $n > 0$ ,  $K(n)_* = \mathbb{F}_p[v_n^\pm]$  where the dimension of  $v_n$  is  $2p^n - 2$ . This ring is a graded field in the sense that every graded module over it is free. For each  $n \geq 0$ ,  $K(n)_*(X)$  is a module over  $K(n)_*$ .
- (iv)  $K(n)_*(X \times Y) \cong K(n)_*(X) \otimes_{K(n)_*} K(n)_*(Y)$ .
- (v) Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -local finite CW-complex. If  $\overline{K(n)}_*(X) = 0$ , then  $\overline{K(n-1)}_*(X) = 0$ .
- (vi) Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -local finite CW-complex.

$$\overline{K(n)}_*(X) = K(n)_* \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{H}_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. In particular, it is nontrivial if  $X$  is simply connected and not contractible.

**Definition 3.2** (Type). A  $p$ -local finite complex  $X$  has **type**  $n$  if  $n$  is the smallest integer such that  $\overline{K(n)}_*(X)$  is nontrivial. If  $X$  is contractible, it has **type**  $\infty$ .

Besides the types, Morava K-theories are useful in detecting periodic self-maps of a spectrum, which will give finer structures of the homotopy groups.

**Theorem 3.3** (Periodicity theorem). Let  $X, Y$  be  $p$ -local finite CW-complexes of type  $n$  for finite  $n$ .

- (i) There is a map  $f: \Sigma^{d+i} X \rightarrow \Sigma^i X$  for some  $i \geq 0$  such that  $K(n)_*(f)$  is an isomorphism and  $K(m)_*(f) = 0$  for  $m \neq n$ . (We will refer to such a map as a  $v_n$ -map) When  $n = 0$  then  $d = 0$ , and when  $n > 0$  then  $d$  is a multiple of  $2p^n - 2$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map. Assume that both have been suspended enough times to be the target of a  $v_n$ -map. Let  $g: \Sigma^e Y \rightarrow Y$  be a self-map as in (i). Then there are positive integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $di = ej$  such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{di} X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{di} h} & \Sigma^{di} Y \\ f^i \downarrow & & \downarrow g^j \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

If we take  $h = Id_X$ , then the second part of the periodicity theorem says that the  $v_n$ -map is unique up to powers.

## 4 Geometric Chromatic Filtration and Telescope Conjecture

Theorem 2.4 tells us that there is a filtration of the homotopy group:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_0^a(X) &:= \pi_*(X) \\ \mathcal{C}_n^a(X) &:= \ker(\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(L_n X)) \\ \mathcal{C}_0^a(X) &\supset \mathcal{C}_1^a(X) \supset \mathcal{C}_2^a(X) \supset \dots\end{aligned}$$

This is called the algebraic chromatic filtration, but  $K(n)$  and  $E_n$  are so manufactured. In this section, we are aiming to give a geometric model for this filtration.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $X$  has type  $n$ . Then the cofiber  $W$  of the map  $f: \Sigma^{d+i} X \rightarrow \Sigma^i X$  given by Theorem 3.3 has type  $n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $m$ , we have a long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \overline{K(m)}_t(\Sigma^{d+i} X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \overline{K(m)}_t(\Sigma^i X) \rightarrow \overline{K(m)}_t(W) \rightarrow \overline{K(m)}_{t-1}(\Sigma^{d+i} X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \dots$$

When  $m < n$ ,  $\overline{K(m)}_*(\Sigma^{d+i} X) = \overline{K(m)}_*(\Sigma^d X) = 0$ , so  $\overline{K(m)}_*(W) = 0$ . When  $m = n$ ,  $f_*$  are isomorphisms, so  $\overline{K(m)}_*(W) = 0$  again. When  $m = n + 1$ ,  $f_* = 0$ , so  $\overline{K(m)}_*(W) = \overline{K(m)}_{*-1}(\Sigma^{d+i} X)$  is nontrivial by Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a CW-complex and  $X_{(p)} := L_{S\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} X$ . Then  $\overline{E}_*(X_{(p)}) \cong \overline{E}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . If  $X$  is finite,  $X_{(p)}$  is also finite.*

Suppose  $X$  is a  $p$ -local complex. Then each element  $x \in \pi_k^S(X)$  has infinite order or order  $p^i$  for some  $i$ . If  $y$  has infinite order, then it has a nontrivial image in  $\pi_k^S(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , which is left for rational homotopy theory.

On the other hand, if  $x$  has order  $p^i$  for some  $i$ , then the composite (Here we omit the suspension for simplicity)

$$S^k \xrightarrow{p^i} S^k \xrightarrow{x} X$$

is null-homotopic. Technically, we localize at  $p$  here. Then  $x$  factors through the cofiber  $W(1)$  of  $p^i: S_{(p)}^k \rightarrow S_{(p)}^k$ . Note that the sphere spectrum has type 0 and the map  $p^i$  is a  $v_0$ -map. Thus,  $W(1)$  has type 1. Therefore, it admits a  $v_1$ -map  $f_1: \Sigma^{d_1} W(1) \rightarrow W(1)$ . Hence, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S_{(p)}^k & \xrightarrow{p^i} & S_{(p)}^k & \xrightarrow{y} & X \\
& & \downarrow & \nearrow g_1 & \\
\Sigma^{d_1} W(1) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & W(1) & & 
\end{array}$$

If the composite of  $g_1$  and all powers of  $f_1$  are not null-homotopic, then  $g_1$  has a nontrivial image in  $v_1^{-1}[W(1), Y]_*^S$ , which is the colimit

$$[W(1), X]_*^S \xrightarrow{f_1^*} [\Sigma^{d_1} W(1), X]_*^S \xrightarrow{f_1^*} [\Sigma^{2d_1} W(1), X]_*^S \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \dots$$

On the other hand, if  $g_1 f_1^{i_1}$  is null-homotopic for some  $i_1$ . Let  $W(2)$  be the cofiber of the map  $f_1^{i_1} : \Sigma^{d_1 i_1} W(1) \rightarrow W(1)$ . Iterating this process we get a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S_{(p)}^k & \xrightarrow{p^i} & S_{(p)}^k & \xrightarrow{x} & X \\
& & \downarrow & \nearrow g_1 & \\
\Sigma^{d_1 i_1} W(1) & \xrightarrow{f_1^{i_1}} & W(1) & & \\
& & \downarrow & \nearrow g_2 & \\
\Sigma^{d_2 i_2} W(2) & \xrightarrow{f_2^{i_2}} & W(2) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \\
& & \vdots & & 
\end{array}$$

**Definition 4.3** (Geometric chromatic filtration). If an element  $x \in \pi_*^S(X)$  extends to a  $p$ -local complex  $W(n)$  of type  $n$ , then  $x$  is  $v_{n-1}$ -torsion. If in addition  $x$  does not extend to a  $p$ -local complex of type  $n+1$ , it is  $v_n$ -periodic. The **geometric chromatic filtration** of  $\pi_*^S(X)$  is the decreasing family of subgroups consisting of the  $v_n$ -torsion elements for various  $n \geq 0$ .

**Conjecture 4.4** (Telescope Conjecture). *The algebraic chromatic filtration is the same with the geometric chromatic filtration.*

Finally, we want to talk about why this conjecture is called "telescope" and interpret the geometric filtration in the viewpoint of Bousfield localization.

**Definition 4.5** (Telescope of a self-map). Let  $f : \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  be a self-map. Then the **telescope of  $f$**  is the homotopy colimit

$$\hat{X} := f^{-1} X := \text{hocolim}(X \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-d} f} \Sigma^{-d} X \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-2d} f} \Sigma^{-2d} X \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-3d} f} \dots)$$

By Theorem 3.3(ii),  $\hat{X}$  is independent of the choice of  $f$ , since the  $v_n$ -maps are unique up to powers.

In analogy with algebra, this looks

$$M[f^{-1}] = \text{colim}(M \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow \dots)$$

where  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $0 \neq f \in R$ .

**Definition 4.6** (Telescope Localization). Let  $Te\ell(n) := f_n^{-1}W(n)$ , where  $W(n)$  is defined as above. Define the **telescope localization** by

$$L_n^f X := L_{Te\ell(0) \vee \dots \vee Te\ell(n)} X$$

By Theorem 6.6, this definition does not rely on the choice of  $W(n)$ . Actually, we can take arbitrary  $p$ -local finite CW-complex of type  $n$ . That is why we take the  $p$ -localization at the beginning of the construction.

**Example 4.7.** If  $X$  is of type  $n$  with  $v_n$ -self map  $f$ , then  $L_n^f X \cong \hat{X}$ . See [Lur10, Lecture 28, Proposition 1]. That is why this is called the "telescope" localization.

Now suppose  $x \in \pi_k(X)$  is  $v_0$ -torsion, i.e., it can factor through  $W(1)$  defined above. Due to 6.5,  $\hat{S}_{(p)}^k \wedge W(1)$  is contractible. Therefore,  $W(1)$  is  $\hat{S}_{(p)}^k$ -acyclic. Since  $L_0^f X = L_{\hat{S}_{(p)}^k} X$  is  $\hat{S}_{(p)}^k$ -local,  $[W(1), L_{\hat{S}_{(p)}^k} X] = 0$ . Hence,  $x$  has trivial image in  $\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(L_n^f X)$ . Conversely,  $Te\ell(0) = p^{-1}S_{(p)}$ , so  $H_*(p^{-1}S_{(p)}) = p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,  $Te\ell(0) = S\mathbb{Q} = H\mathbb{Q} = K(0)$ . If  $x$  has trivial image in  $\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(L_0^f X)$ , then it factors through the fiber of  $X \rightarrow L_0^f X$ . Since the fiber is  $Te\ell(0)$ -acyclic, it has type  $\geq 1$ , so  $x$  is  $v_0$ -torsion.

This is true for the general case with more knowledge about Bousfield localization. Therefore, under the viewpoint of localization, the geometric chromatic filtration becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0^g(X) &:= \pi_*(X) \\ \mathcal{C}_n^g(X) &:= \ker(\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(L_n^f X)) \\ \mathcal{C}_0^g(X) &\supset \mathcal{C}_1^g(X) \supset \mathcal{C}_2^g(X) \supset \dots \end{aligned}$$

And the telescope conjecture says that  $\mathcal{C}_n^g = \mathcal{C}_n^a$  or  $L_n^f = L_n$  in other word. By above discussion, this is true when  $n = 0$ . When  $n = 1$ , the case of  $p > 2$  is proved by Miller and the case

of  $p = 2$  is proved by Mahowald [Bea19, Part III].

The geometric side is more natural and conceptual while the algebraic side is more manufactured and computable. For example, we do not have a chromatic convergence theorem for  $L_n^f X$  and the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence may not converge for  $\pi_*(L_n^f X)$ , so  $\pi_*(L_n^f X)$  is hard to compute.

Now suppose that  $x: S^k \rightarrow X$  is  $v_n$ -periodic and that it extends to  $g_n: W(n) \rightarrow X$ . Suppose  $e: S^K \rightarrow W(n)$  is the bottom cell in  $W(n)$ . Then for each  $i$ , we have a composition

$$S^{K+d_n i} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{d_n i} e} \Sigma^{d_n i} W(n) \xrightarrow{f_n^i} W(n) \xrightarrow{g_n} X$$

We can play the same game as above to get a nontrivial element in  $\pi_*^S(X)$ .

**Definition 4.8** ( $v_n$ -periodic family). Given a  $v_n$ -periodic element  $x \in \pi_*^S(X)$ , the element described above for various  $i > 0$  constitute the  $v_n$ -periodic family associated with  $x$ .

## 5 Thick Category Theorem

### 5.1 The category $C\Gamma$

Let  $L \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  be the Lazard ring and  $G(x, y)$  be the universal formal group law over  $L$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of power series over  $\mathbb{Z}$  having the form  $\gamma = x + b_1 x + b_2 x + \dots$  where  $b_1, b_2, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  acts on  $L$  by the following. Note that  $\gamma^{-1} \left( G(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \right) \in FGL(L)$ . It is determined by a homomorphism  $L \rightarrow L$ . Since  $\gamma$  is invertible, this endomorphism is an automorphism, which is the desired action.

Let  $MU$  be the complex cobordism theory. Then  $\Gamma$  also acts naturally on  $MU_*(X)$  compatibly with the action on  $MU_*$ .

**Remark.** According to [Rav92, Section 3.3], this action is an analogy to the action of the group of multiplicative cohomology operations. For example, in the mod 2 case, we consider

multiplicative cohomology operations  $\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: H^1(X; \mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_2)[t] = H^*(X \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_2) \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{i \geq 1}^{\infty} \phi_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $H^1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[a]$ . For any  $x \in H^1(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$ ,  $x$  can be viewed as a map  $X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{F}_2, 1) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_2) & & a & \longmapsto & \sum \phi_i(a) \\ x^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (x \times id)^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_2) & & x & \longmapsto & \sum \phi_i(x) \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $\phi$  is determined by its effect on the generator of  $a$ . If  $\phi$  is in the group of multiplicative cohomology operations,  $\phi_1(a) = t$ , so the group of such multiplicative cohomology operations can be embedded into  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ , which is the analog of  $\Gamma$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathbf{CT}$  be the category of finitely presented graded  $L$ -modules equipped with an action of  $\Gamma$  compatible with its action on  $L$ . Let  $\mathbf{FH}$  be the homotopy category of finite CW-complexes.

Therefore,  $MU_*$  is a functor from  $\mathbf{FH}$  to  $\mathbf{CT}$ , which is more accessible and is the main object in this subsection.

Let  $v_n \in L$  denote the coefficient of  $x^{p^n}$  in the  $p$ -series for the universal formal group law. It can be shown that  $v_n$  can serve as a polynomial generator in dimension  $2p^n - 2$  [Lur10, Lecture 13, Proposition 1]. Let  $I_{p,n} := (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \subset L$ .

**Theorem 5.3** (Invariant Prime Ideal Theorem). *The only prime ideals in  $L$  which are invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$  are the  $I_{p,n}$  defined above, where  $p$  is a prime integer and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , possibly  $\infty$ . By convention,  $I_{p,0} = 0$ .*

Moreover,  $(L/I_{p,n})^\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_p[v_n]$  for  $n > 0$  and  $L^\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* For references, see [Rav92, Theorem 3.3.6]. □

**Theorem 5.4** (Landweber Filtration Theorem). *Every module  $M$  in  $\mathbf{CT}$  admits a finite filtration by submodules in  $\mathbf{CT}$  as above in which each subquotient is isomorphic to a suspension (recall these modules are graded) of  $L/I_{p,n}$  for some prime  $p$  and finite  $n$ .*

*Proof.* For references, see [Rav92, Theorem 3.3.7]. □

We may consider  $L/I_{p,n}$  classifying formal group laws of height greater or equal to  $n$ . Then the filtration looks like a filtration of  $MU$  such that each subquotient is a suspension of some universal spectra within the category of complex oriented spectra with formal group laws of height  $\geq n$ .

**Remark.** *In fact, the Landweber exact functor theorem is proved using the above two theorems.*

**Remark.** *A finitely generated module  $M$  over a Noetherian ring  $R$  has a finite filtration with each subquotient equals to  $R/I$  for some prime ideal  $I$ . Note that  $L$  is not Noetherian, but it is a limit of Noetherian rings, so finitely presented modules over it admits similar filtrations. That is why we define  $\mathbf{CF}$  to be the category of such modules.*

**Corollary 5.5.** *Suppose  $M$  is a  $p$ -local module in  $\mathbf{CF}$  and  $x \in M$ .*

- (a) *If  $x$  is annihilated by some power of  $v_n$ , then it is annihilated by some power of  $v_{n-1}$ , so if  $v_n^{-1}M = 0$ , then  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M = 0$ .*
- (b) *If  $x$  is nonzero, then there is an  $n$  so that  $v_n^k x \neq 0$  for all  $k$ , so if  $M$  is nontrivial, then so is  $v_n^{-1}M$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .*
- (c) *If  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M = 0$ , then there is a positive integer  $d$  such that multiplication by  $v_n^d$  in  $M$  commutes with the action of  $\Gamma$ .*
- (d) *Conversely, if  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M$  is nontrivial, then there is no positive integer  $k$  such that multiplication by  $v_n^k$  in  $M$  commutes with the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Proofs are similar to 5.9. See [Rav92, Corollary 3.3.9]. □

The first two statements are similar to the one of Morava K-theory. In fact, for a finite  $p$ -local CW-complex  $X$ ,  $v_n^{-1}\overline{MU}_*(X)_{(p)} = 0$  if and only if  $\overline{K}(n)_*(X) = 0$ . One can replace  $K(n)_*$  by  $v_n^{-1}MU_{(p)}$  in the statement of the periodicity theorem. The third statement is an analogy of the periodicity theorem.

**Definition 5.6.** A  $p$ -local module  $M$  in  $\mathbf{CF}$  has **type**  $n$  if  $n$  is the smallest integer with  $v_n^{-1}M$  nontrivial. A homomorphism  $f: \Sigma^d M \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathbf{CF}$  is a  $v_n$ -map if it induces an isomorphism in  $v_n^{-1}M$  and the trivial homomorphism in  $v_m^{-1}M$  for  $m \neq n$ .

**Corollary 5.7.** *If  $M$  in  $\mathbf{CF}$  is a  $p$ -local module with  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M$  nontrivial, then  $M$  does admit a  $v_n$ -map.*

*Proof.* Proof is similar to 5.9. See [Rav92, Corollary 3.3.11]. □

## 5.2 Thick Subcategories

**Definition 5.8** (Thick Subcategory). A full subcategory  $\mathbf{C}$  of  $\mathbf{CF}$  is **thick** if it satisfies that if

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence, then  $M$  is in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if  $M', M''$  are in it.

A full subcategory  $\mathbf{F}$  of  $\mathbf{FH}$  is **thick** if it satisfies the following axioms:

(a) If

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow C_f$$

is a cofiber sequence in which two of the three spaces are in  $\mathbf{F}$ , then so is the third.

(b) If  $X \vee Y$  is in  $\mathbf{F}$  then so are  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Using Landweber filtration theorem we can prove that

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a thick subcategory of  $\mathbf{CF}_{(p)}$ , the subcategory of all  $p$ -local modules in  $\mathbf{CF}$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}$  is either all of  $\mathbf{CF}_{(p)}$ , or consists of all  $p$ -local modules  $M$  in  $\mathbf{CF}$  with  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M = 0$ . We denote the latter category by  $\mathbf{CF}_{p,n}$ .*

*Proof.* There is largest  $n$  such that  $\mathbf{CF}_{p,n} \supset \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \not\subseteq \mathbf{CF}_{p,n+1}$ . Then choose  $M \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{CF}_{p,n+1}$ . Then  $v_n^{-1}M \neq 0$  and  $v_{n-1}^{-1}M = 0$ . Choose a Landweber filtration of  $M$ . Then there is a such quotient equals to a suspension of  $MU_*/I_{p,k}$  with  $v_n^{-1}MU_*/I_{p,k} \neq 0$ . Thus,  $k \leq n$ . Since  $v_{n-1}^{-1}MU_*/I_{p,k} = 0$ ,  $k = n$ . Therefore,  $MU_*/I_{p,n} \in \mathbf{C}$ . Note that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{p,m}/I_{p,n} \rightarrow MU_*/I_{p,n} \rightarrow MU_*/I_{p,m} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $m \geq n$ . Since  $\mathbf{C}$  is thick,  $MU_*/I_{p,m} \in \mathbf{C}$ . For all  $N \in \mathbf{CF}_{p,n}$ ,  $v_{n-1}^{-1}N = 0$ . Therefore, every subquotient of  $N$  is a suspension of  $MU_*/I_{p,m}$  for  $m \geq n$ , so  $N \in \mathbf{C}$ . □

The proof suggests that  $\mathbf{CF}_{p,n}$  actually consists of modules admitting a filtration such that each subquotient is a suspension of  $MU_*/I_{p,m}$  for  $m \geq n$ .

In fact, generators other than  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  act freely on any  $M \in \mathbf{CF}$ . If we localize  $L$  at  $p$  and drop out all generators other than  $v_1, v_2, \dots$ , we get  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$ . The cohomology theory associated to this ring is called the Brown-Peterson theory  $BP$ . Then we get a filtration of  $BP$  by prime ideals

$$0 = I_{p,0} \subset I_{p,1} \subset I_{p,1} \subset \dots$$

If we view  $MU$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $BP$  as the stalk  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $p$ , the filtration of  $BP$  is a filtration of the stalk by heights. Then any  $M \in \mathbf{CF}_{p,n}$  is a composition of "sub-stalks" of  $BP$  consisting of elements of height  $\geq n$ .

There is an analogous result about thick subcategories of  $\mathbf{FH}_{(p)}$ .

**Theorem 5.10** (Thick Category Theorem). *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a thick subcategory of  $\mathbf{FH}_{(p)}$ , the category of  $p$ -local finite CW-complexes. Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is either all of  $\mathbf{FH}_{(p)}$ , the trivial subcategory or consists of all  $p$ -local finite CW-complexes  $X$  with  $\overline{K(n)}_*(X) = 0$ , which is equivalent to say that  $v_{n-1}^{-1}\overline{MU}_*(X) = 0$ . We denote the latter category by  $\mathbf{FH}_{p,n}$ .*

Therefore, we have two sequences of thick subcategories, where  $MU_*(\cdot)$  sends one to the other.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{FH}_{(p)} &= \mathbf{FH}_{p,0} \supset \mathbf{FH}_{p,1} \supset \mathbf{FH}_{p,2} \supset \dots \supset * \\ \mathbf{CF}_{(p)} &= \mathbf{CF}_{p,0} \supset \mathbf{CF}_{p,1} \supset \mathbf{CF}_{p,2} \supset \dots \supset 0 \end{aligned}$$

Under such a point of view, the geometric chromatic filtration becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{FH}_{p,0} \ni S_{(p)}^k & \longrightarrow & X \\ \cup & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \mathbf{FH}_{p,1} \ni W(1) & & \\ \cup & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \mathbf{FH}_{p,2} \ni W(2) & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \vdots & \end{array}$$

A map  $x: S^k \rightarrow X$  is  $v_{n-1}$ -torsion if and only if it can be induced from a smaller thick subcategory  $\mathbf{FH}_{p,n}$ .

## 6 Bousfield Equivalence

We first display some easy consequences of the definition of Bousfield localization.

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any homology theory  $E_*$ ,*

(a) *Any inverse limit of  $E_*$ -local spectra is  $E_*$ -local.*

(b) *If*

$$W \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \Sigma W$$

*is a cofiber sequence and any two of  $W$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $E_*$ -local, then so is the third.*

(c) *If  $X \vee Y$  is  $E_*$ -local, then so are  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

In particular, (b)(c) say that  $E_*$ -local spectra form a thick subcategory.

**Lemma 6.2.** *If  $E$  is a ring spectrum, then  $E \wedge X$  is  $E_*$ -local for any spectrum  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $W$  is  $E_*$ -acyclic. Suppose  $\eta: S \rightarrow E$  and  $m: E \wedge E \rightarrow E$  are unit and multiplication map of  $E$  respectively. For any  $f: W \rightarrow E \wedge X$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{f} & E \wedge X & & \\ \eta \wedge Id_W \downarrow & & \eta \wedge Id_{E \wedge X} \downarrow & \searrow Id_{E \wedge X} & \\ E \wedge W & \xrightarrow{Id_E \wedge f} & E \wedge E \wedge X & \xrightarrow{m \wedge Id_X} & E \wedge X \end{array}$$

Since  $E \wedge W$  is contractible,  $f$  is null-homotopic. □

From Section 2, we know that chromatic homotopy theory cares about the localization of spectra. It is natural to ask when two spectra induce the same localization.

**Definition 6.3** (Bousfield Localization). Two spectra  $E, F$  are **Bousfield equivalent** if for each spectrum  $X$ ,  $E \wedge X$  is contractible if and only if  $F \wedge X$  is contractible. The Bousfield equivalence class of  $E$  is denoted by  $\langle E \rangle$ .

Say  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle F \rangle$  if for each spectrum  $X$ ,  $E \wedge X$  is contractible implies that  $F \wedge X$  is contractible. Say  $\langle E \rangle > \langle F \rangle$  if  $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle F \rangle$  and  $\langle E \rangle \neq \langle F \rangle$ .

Define  $\langle E \rangle \wedge \langle F \rangle := \langle E \wedge F \rangle$  and  $\langle E \rangle \vee \langle F \rangle := \langle E \vee F \rangle$ .

A class  $\langle E \rangle$  has a **complement**  $\langle E \rangle^c$  if  $\langle E \rangle \wedge \langle E \rangle^c = \langle * \rangle$  and  $\langle E \rangle \vee \langle E \rangle^c = \langle S \rangle$ .

We can show that  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  satisfy the distributive laws.

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle Y \rangle) \vee \langle Z \rangle &= (\langle X \rangle \vee \langle Z \rangle) \wedge (\langle Y \rangle \vee \langle Z \rangle) \\ (\langle X \rangle \vee \langle Y \rangle) \wedge \langle Z \rangle &= (\langle X \rangle \wedge \langle Z \rangle) \vee (\langle Y \rangle \wedge \langle Z \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.4.** *The Bousfield localizations  $L_E \cong L_F$  if and only if  $\langle E \rangle = \langle F \rangle$ . If  $\langle E \rangle \leq \langle F \rangle$  then  $L_E L_F = L_E$  and there is a natural transformation  $L_F \rightarrow L_E$ .*

There is another property that is similar to the relation between localizations and quotients  $p^{-1}S \otimes S/p = 0$  in commutative algebra.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Given a self-map  $f: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$ , let  $C_f$  denote its cofiber and let  $\hat{X}$  be the telescope. Then  $\langle X \rangle = \langle \hat{X} \rangle \vee \langle C_f \rangle$  and  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle \wedge \langle C_f \rangle = \langle * \rangle$ .*

For any spectrum  $E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S \rangle &\geq \langle E \rangle \geq \langle * \rangle \\ \langle S \rangle \wedge \langle E \rangle &= \langle E \rangle \\ \langle S \rangle \vee \langle E \rangle &= \langle S \rangle \\ \langle * \rangle \vee \langle E \rangle &= \langle E \rangle \\ \langle * \rangle \wedge \langle E \rangle &= \langle * \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Bousfield equivalence classes with complements form a Boolean algebra **BA**. We have a structure theorem for part of this algebra. Firstly, we have a corollary of Thick category theorem.

**Theorem 6.6** (Class Invariance Theorem). *Let  $X, Y$  be  $p$ -local finite CW-complexes of types  $m, n$  respectively. Then  $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$  if and only if  $m = n$  and  $\langle X \rangle < \langle Y \rangle$  if and only if  $m > n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_X, C_Y$  are the smallest thick subcategories containing  $X, Y$  respectively. Then  $C_X$  consists of finite complexes built from  $X$  through cofibrations and retracts. Therefore,  $\langle X' \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle$  for all  $X'$  in  $C_X$ . Since  $X \wedge K(m-1) = 0$ ,  $X' \wedge K(m-1) = 0$ . Thus,  $C_X \subset \mathbf{FH}_{p,m}$ . Since  $K_*(m)(X) \neq 0$ ,  $C_X \not\subset \mathbf{FH}_{p,m+1}$ . Therefore,  $C_X = \mathbf{FH}_{p,m}$ . Similarly,  $C_Y = \mathbf{FH}_{p,n}$ . Then  $C_X = C_Y$  if and only if  $m = n$ . If  $C_X = C_Y$ , then  $\langle X \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle$  and  $\langle X \rangle \geq \langle Y \rangle$ . Thus,  $C_X = C_Y$  if and only if  $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ .

The inequality can be proved similarly. □

Pick a  $p$ -local CW-complex  $X_n$  of type  $n$ , the Bousfield equivalence class  $\langle X_n \rangle$  and the telescope  $\langle \hat{X}_n \rangle$  are independent of the choice of  $X$ . The following theorem gives a description of the structure of part of  $\mathbf{BA}$ .

**Theorem 6.7** (Boolean Algebra Theorem). *Let  $\mathbf{FBA} \subset \mathbf{BA}$  be the Boolean subalgebra generated by finite spectra and their complements. Let  $\mathbf{FBA}_{(p)} \subset \mathbf{FBA}$  be the subalgebra of  $p$ -local finite spectra and their complements in  $\langle S_{(p)} \rangle$ . Then  $\mathbf{FBA}_{(p)}$  is the free (under complements, finite unions and finite intersections) Boolean algebra generated by the classes of the telescopes  $\langle \hat{X}_n \rangle$  for  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* See [[Rav92](#), Theorem 7.2.9]. □

## References

- [Bea19] Agnes Beaudry, *An introduction to chromatic homotopy theory*, <https://sites.google.com/colorado.edu/agnesbeaudry/links/echt-may-2019>, 2019. 4
- [Lur10] Jacob Lurie, *Chromatic homotopy theory*, <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/252x.html>, 2010. 4.7, 5.1
- [Rav92] Douglas C. Ravenel, *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 128, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. 5.1, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7, 6.7